Mollusks and their shell biomineralization







- Since the Cambrian, continuous fossil record
- Great adaptability

- Evolutionary success: efficient shelter against predation and dessiccation

One of the keys of the evolutionary success:

THE SHELL



Physiology of calcification

Crassostrea gigas



- Translocation of precursor ions & extrusion
- Secretion of amorphous granules
- Secretion of skeletal matrix
- Proton reabsorption

The mantle-shell interface in mollusks



- 'Self-assembling process'

From Marin et al., 2012, Adapted from Waller, 1980

The shell, an organo-mineral material...



• Displays major roles in biomineralization

« MOLECULAR TOOLBOX ! »

& the main regulator of mineral deposition



Drastic evolution of the concept of « skeletal matrix » in the last decades... The manner we perceive the shell matrix and its putative functions

has a lot to do with the techniques we use to analyze it !!





(From Marin *et al.,* 2016)







Analysis of the shell proteins: the molecular biology approach









Contribution of the 'omics' techniques

- Massive increase of the number of identified proteins
- Concept of skeletal matrix: blurred
- Several new functions identified
- Majority of novel unknown functions
- Are skeletal proteins all involved in mineralization ?
 - ➔ cytoplasmic contaminants Necessity to clean properly skeletal tissues





The facts

>> 1000 proteins

- Several functional domains

- Several protein families
- Low Complexity Domains

THE PROBLEMS

- Concept / outlines of skeletal matrix: blurred
- How to relate protein sequences and functions ?
- How do all these proteins work together ?

The possibilities

- Comparison between calcifying repertoires
- Sketch macroevolutionary trends
- Diagenesis of skeletal matrix

Example 1: nacre in molluscs, single or multiple inventions ?

- * One of the several shell microstructures
- * Always internal layer
- * Laminar microstructure

- * Nacre = typical of molluscs
- * Always aragonitic
- * Cambrian origin



Nacre in mollusks

















Nacreous bivalve vs. nacreous gastropod



- Within bivalves, several similarities, but also taxon-specific nacre proteins
- Between bivalves & gastropods, very different nacre proteins assemblages
- => Independent inventions..., or fast evolution of nacre proteins ("drift" from a common nacre ancestor) ?
- Different assemblages can build similar microstructures: plasticity of the system => which 'driving force' constrains the system to produce nacre?

Example 2: prisms and nacre, same matrices ?



A fundamental question that was left open for decades...

Amino Acids in the Proteins from Aragonite and Calcite in the Shells of Mytilus californianus

Abstract. Hydroxylysine and hydroxyproline are absent in the calcified proteins of Mytilus. The organic matrices from the calcite layers have a consistently higher ratio of acidic to basic amino acids than the aragonitic shell units. The uncalcified shell units periostracum and outer ligament, have very few acidic residues, which may in part account for the lack of mineralization.

Hare, PE, Science, 1963

Biol. Rev. (1967), 42, pp. 653-687

653

SUR LA STRUCTURE DES MATRICES ORGANIQUES DES COQUILLES DE MOLLUSQUES

PAR CH. GRÉGOIRE Laboratoire de Biochimie, Université de Liège, Belgique

Grégoire, Ch, Biol. Rev., 1967

Mollusk Shell Formation: Isolation of Two Organic Matrix Proteins Associated with Calcite Deposition in the Bivalve Mytilus californianus[†]

Stephen Weiner

Weiner, S, Biochemistry, 1983





Prisms

Protein	Homology / domain	Pmarg	Pmax
Alveolin-like ^a	- / VP-rich RLCDs	Р	Р
MP 10 ^a	MP10 Pfu / VP-rich RLCDs	Р	Р
Shematrin8	Shematrin2 Pfu / GY-rich RLCDs	P>N	P>N
Shematrin9	Shematrin1 Pfu / GY-rich RLCDs	Р	Р
Shematrin3 ^a	Shematrin3 Pfu / GY-rich RLCDs	Р	Р
Shematrin5 ^a	Shematrin5 Pfu / GY-rich RLCDs	Р	-
Shematrin6 ^ª	Shematrin6 Pfu / GY-rich RLCDs	Р	-
Tyrosinase1 ^ª	Tyrosinase Pfu / Tyrosinase domain	Р	Р
Tyrosinase2 ^ª	Tyrosinase Pfu / Tyrosinase domain	Р	Р
Clp-1 ^a	Clp1 Cgig / Glyco_18 domain	Р	Р
Clp-3 ^a	Clp3 Cgig / Glyco_18 domain	Р	Р
Chitobiase ^a	- / Hex + Glyco_20 domains	Р	Р
EGF-like1 ^ª	EGF-like Cgig / 2 EGF + ZP domains	Р	Р
EGF-like2 ^a	EGF-like Cgig / 2 EGF + ZP domains	Р	Р
Fibronectin1 ^a	- / 5 FN3 domains	Р	Р
Fibronectin2 ^a	- / 4 FN3 domains	Р	Р
Fibronectin3 ^a	- / 5 FN3 domains	Р	Р
PUSP1 ^a	- / 2 chitin-binding + LCT domains	Р	Р
PUSP15 [°]	- / 2 chitin-binding + LCT domains	Р	n.e.
PUSP16 ^ª	- / 2 chitin-binding domains	Р	n.e.
Cement-like ^a	- / Poly-G RLCDs	Р	Р
KRMP7	KRMP2 Pfu / GY-rich RLCDs	Р	Р
Prismalin14 ^ª	Prismalin14 Pfu / GY-rich RLCDs	Р	n.e.
PUSP2 ^a	- / D-rich domain	Р	Р
Calmodulin ^a	- / Ef-hand domain	Р	-
PUSP3 ^a	-/-	Р	Р
PUSP4 ^a	- / Q-rich RLCDs	Р	Р
PUSP5 ^a	- / Q-rich RLCDs	Р	Р
PUSP7 ^a	- / Poly-G RLCDs	Р	Р
PSPI1 [®]	 / 2 kunitz-like domains 	Р	Р
PSPI2 [®]	- / 2 kunitz-like domains	Р	-
CopAmOx*	 - / Copper amine oxidase domain 	Р	-
Peroxidase ^a	Peroxidase / Peroxidase domain	Р	-
MPN88	MPN88 Pfu / Q-rich RLCDs	Р	-
PUSP9"	- / S-rich RLCDs	Р	n.e.
PTIMP1 [*]	- / TIMP domain	Р	-
PTIMP2 ⁴	- / TIMP domain	P	-
PTIMP3"	- / TIMP domain	Р	-
PUSP11	- / 2 sushi domains	P	n.e.
PUSP12 [®]	- / 3 sushi domains	Р	n.e.

Nacre

FIOLEINS	Homology / domain	Pmarg	Pma
Pif-177	Pif-177 Pfu / VWA + 2 chitin-bd domains	N	Ν
MS160	MSI60 Pfu / Poly-A + G-rich RLCDs	N	Ν
Nacrein	Nacrein / Carbonic anhydrase domain	N>P	N>P
Pearlin	N14 Pfu / C-rich + GN repeat domains	N	Ν
Linkine	- / C-rich domain	N	Ν
MRNP34	- / MG-rich RLCDs	N	Ν
NUSP1 ^a	- / 2 chitin-binding + LCT domains	N	Ν
NUSP2 ^a	- / GA-rich RLCDs	N	Ν
NUSP3 ^a	- / -	N	Ν
NUSP4 ^a	- / GAK-rich RLCDs	N	Ν
NUSP5 ^a	-/-	N	Ν
NUSP6 ^a	-/-	N	Ν
NUSP7 ^a	- / G-rich RLCDs	N	Ν
NUSP8 ^a	 / Chitin-binding domain 	N	-
NUSP9 ^a	- / Poly-A + poly-D RLCDs	N	Ν
NUSP10 ^a	- / C-rich domain	N	-
NUSP11 ^a	- / SCP domain	N	n.e.
NUSP12 ^a	-/-	Ν	-
NUSP15 ^ª	- / -	N	-
NUSP17 ^a	- / C-rich + GN repeat domains	N	-
NUSP18 ^a	-/-	N>P	n.e.
NUSP19 ^a	-/-	Ν	-
NUSP20 ^a	-/-	Ν	-
NSPI1 ^a	- / 2 kunitz-like + G-rich domains	Ν	Ν
NSPI2 ^a	- / 2 kunitz-like domains	Ν	Ν
NSPI3 ^a	- / 2 kunitz-like domains	Ν	-
NSPI4 ^a	- / 2 kunitz-like domains	Ν	-
NCDISa	- / 2 kunitz-like domains	Ν	-
NSPI4°	- / 2 kunitz-like domains - / 2 kunitz-like domains	N N	-

VERY différent !!!

Identification of potential biomarkers of shell calcification => 48 prisms proteins (40 new, 28 complete) 32 nacre proteins (26 new, 10 complete)

PRISMS Hydrophobic: VP-rich, Poly-G **GY-rich** Hydrophilic polar: Q-rich Acidic: D-rich **Basic: K-rich Fibronectin EGF-like** Sushi (adhesion/binding) **Chitin-binding** Peroxidase Tyrosinase(phenol ox.) Cu-amine oxidase Clp (chitinase) TIMP (MMP inhibitor) **Kunitz-like Putative Ca-binding**



Example 3: mosaic composition of shell matrix proteins



- Freshwater
- Pulmonate
- Belongs to a superfamily (Lymnaeoidea) that emerged in the Lower Jurassic (Dayrat et al., 2011)
- Non nacreous: crossed-lamellar

Lymnaea stagnalis

Herlitze et al., Gigascience, 2018 (Dan Jackson's group, Göttingen)

Proteomics of the shell matrix \rightarrow 40 proteins

For all of them, verification of the expression of the corresponding gene by *ISH* at different developmental stages





Herlitze et al., Gigascience, 2018

Skeletal (CaCO3) proteins, some characteristics...

- * Two dozens to >> 100 per model (depending on cleaning, intracrystalline vs. intercrystalline)
- * Mostly modular (multidomains), 'chimeric proteins' -> Multifunctional
- * Functions deduced from sequence analysis and comparison with known proteins of clearly identified functions: domain sequences similar to those

found in vertebrates (in particular in ECM)

- * Functional assays / gene knock-down : on very few proteins
 - Domains of clearly identified function

Enzymatic

- Sugar-binding
- Protease inhibitor
- Ca-binding
- Immunity
- ECM-like
- All LCD or RLCDs
- Other non-LCDs

Skeletal proteins

Domains of unknown / putative function (no homologues)

A summary...

FEATURES

- Skeletal matrix proteins => Diversity => Plasticity
- Modular architecture of sequences
- Abundance of LCDs and RLCDs

MACROEVOLUTIONARY TRENDS

- Skeletal matrix proteins = complex evolutionary histories
 - Early recruitment (Cambrian or before) + 2^{ary} evolutions in the different lineages
 - Recent recruitment (lineage-dependent) and / or fast evolution: RLCDs
 - No phylogenetic signal (at high taxonomic level) !!!